



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/627,540	07/25/2003	Paul H. Turner	019299-000930US	3581

20350 7590 08/26/2004

TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP  
TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER  
EIGHTH FLOOR  
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834

EXAMINER


WINNER, TONY H

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3611

DATE MAILED: 08/26/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/627,540	<b>Applicant(s)</b> TURNER ET AL	
	<b>Examiner</b> Tony H. Winner	<b>Art Unit</b> 3611	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 July 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 20-26 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 20-24 and 26 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 25 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 25 July 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                        | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)                     |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)               | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____  |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>7/25/03</u> .   | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                                    |

**DETAILED ACTION**

**Abstract Objection**

1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the disclosure is not related to the claimed invention. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

***Specification***

2. Under the heading "Background of the Invention" U.S. application nos. 09/502,272 and 09/502,746 are now US. patent nos. 6,615,960 and 6,450,521. The specification must be updated to include the patent numbers listed above.

3. Under the heading "Cross Reference to Related Applications" U.S. application no. 10/120,474 is now US. patent nos. 6,669,219. The specification must be updated to include the patent number listed above.

***Drawings***

4. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "torsion springs" of claim 25 must be shown or the feature canceled from the claim. No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure

number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

#### ***Claim Objections***

4. Claims 21 and 23 are objected to because of the following informalities: The recitations "the top" and "T-shaped in geometry" of claims 21 and 23 lack antecedent basis. Appropriate correction is required.

#### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

5. -The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States

only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 20-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kroulik (US. patent Des. 412,972).

Kroulik discloses a releasable clamp system, comprising:

- a. a frame member (attachment A)) defining a shape that is adapted to receive a portion of the wheel axle;
- b. a cover plate pivotally attached to the frame member that is adapted to receive another portion of the wheel axle, wherein the cover plate is movable between a closed position where the frame member and the cover plate generally encompass and clamp the wheel axle, and
- c. an open position that permits removal of the wheel axle;
- d. a lever ( 58) pivotally attached to the cover plate; and
- e. a hook member (83) pivotally attached to the lever, where the hook member is configured to hook onto the frame member and be pulled by the lever to secure the cover plate to the frame member when the cover plate is moved to the closed position.

**Note:** 1. The recitation “for clamping a wheel axle of a wheel to a two wheeled vehicle” in the pre-ample is intended use and not taken as any positive limitations.

2. The examiner takes position that the word “**adapted**” is not a positive limitation but only requires the ability to so perform. Therefore, Chen meets all the functional language limitations in the broadest sense.

With respect to claims 21-24, Kroulik discloses all of the claimed invention.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 26 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kroulik and in view of Wells (US. patent 6,520,710 B2).

Kroulik is disclosed above but lacks the teaching of a mount on the frame member.

Wells discloses a clamping mechanism with a mount (24) so as to provide a means for connecting/attaching other structure to its structure.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the clamp device of Kroulik as taught by Wells to include a mount. Such a modification would provide the clamp device with a means to connecting/attaching other structure to its structure.

***Allowable Subject Matter***

7. Claim 25 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the drawing objection and rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

**Conclusion**

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Chen ('492), Lin ('668), Sisk ('465), Begley et al. ('530) are cited of interest.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Anthony H. Winner whose telephone number is (703) 306-5957. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 am to 6:30 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lesley Morris, can be reached at (703) 308-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.



**TONY WINNER**  
**PATENT EXAMINER**

August 20, 2004

# Attachment A

